## COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF ALTERNATIVES

Project	Elements:		Ex	tension of Omni Loop Metromover	to Midtown and Bay Crossing (Trunk	Line): Bus/T	rolley connections via W	/ashington Aven	ue to Miami	Beach Con	vention Center
LRT					istrict to Midtown to Bay Crossing Tr						
Monor	ail		Me	onorail Bay Crossing Trunk Line with	n APM extension to Midtown/Design	District and	Bus/Trolley connections	s via Washingtor	Avenue to	Miami Bea	ch Convention Center
BRT			Со	ntinuous BRT system from Downto	wn to Miami Beach Convention Cent	er, via I-395/	Washington Avenue or	l-195/Collins Ave	enue		
	Evaluation Categ	ories and Meas	ures	APM	LRT/Streetcar Monorail		BF	BRT I-395		BRT I-195	
				5.6 miles/10 stations	7.5 miles/17 stations	3.3	miles/4 stations	6.6 Mile	es/10 Station	ns	10.8 miles/11 stations
	TRANSIT AND MULTIMODA	L PERFORMANCE									
sures	Ridership	Average Weekday	Ridership	Higher	Higher		Higher		Lower		Lower
ary Mea	Travel Time	Minutes-End t	to End	18	22		18	18			20
Prin	Interoperability/ Modal Integration	One-Seat Ri	des	To/from Downtown	From Midtown to Beach	Most	Trip Pairs Require Transfer	From Downtown to Be		each	From Downtown to Beach
Secondary Measure	Passenger Capacity	Peak Hour Per D (5 Minute Peak H		2,400	2,880		2,140	1,200			1,200
_	ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS			2 car train	single articulated train		2 car train	Articulated bu			Articulated bus
Primary Measures	Natural Resources	Water Resources, Habitat and Animals		Direct Impacts to seagrass, coral and mangrove; small increase in impervious surface	Direct Impacts to seagrass, coral and mangrove; additional indirect (shading) impacts; greater increase in impervious surface	Direct impacts to seagrass, coral and mangroves		Permitting and challenging-s	Significant impacts to coral.  Permitting and mitigation would be challenging-significant risk to cost & duration of project.		Bridge widening on I-195 would result in seagrass impacts that would require permitting and mitigation.
	Cultural Resources	# of Listed/El Historic/Archae Resource	ological	34	144		33	2			0
	Aesthetics and Visual	Views and Streetscape		Elevated guideway / stations impact views and streetscape	Elevated guideway / stations impact views in Bay Crossing segment; less impact in atgrade segments	Elevated guideway / stations impact views and streetscape			Buses/stops will have limited impact on view shed		Buses/stops will have limited impact on view shed
	Noise and Vibration	Number and Severity of Impacts by Type of Property/Use		1 Moderate Residential	1 Severe Residential and 1 Moderate Institutional	No Impacts		No	No Impacts		No Impacts
	Traffic Impacts	Impact to Existing Traffic Lanes		No impacts to at-grade traffic due to elevated guideway	At-grade segments impact traffic by dedicating lanes to transit		cts to at-grade traffic elevated guideway	Arterial segments impacting lanes to			Arterial segments impact traffic by dedicating lanes to traffic
Secondary Measure	Construction Impacts	Traffic, Noise and Impacts		Some intermittent lane closures, navigational impacts, noise and habitat impacts.	Long-Duration Lane Closures for Utility Relocation, Roadway Excavation, Track Installation and Paving	closures,	intermittent lane navigational impacts, nd habitat impacts.	Short-duration lane clos pavement striping, signa stop platform installa		ge and	Short-duration lane closures for pavement striping, signage and stop platform installation
	COST AND FEASIBILITY										
ondary Measures Primary Measures	Capital Cost	Total 2019 \$		\$862,360,000	\$908,400,000	\$891,610,000		\$335,800,000			\$375,650,000
	Operations and Maintenance Cost	Annual Total (2019 \$)		\$15,580,000	\$16,750,000	\$11,910,000		\$4,660,000			\$5,410,000
	Lifecycle Cost	30 Year Discounted Capital, O&M & Major Maintenance		\$1,251,000,000	\$1,266,000,000	\$1,200,000		\$452,000,000			\$506,000,000
	Resiliency	Mitigation of Sea Level Rise Impacts		Elevated guideway and stations provides mitigation of predicted sea level rise.	Limited opportunity to mitigate sea level rise outside of Bay  Crossing	Elevated guideway and stations provides mitigation of predicted sea level rise.		No mitigation of sea level r risks		el rise	No mitigation of sea level rise risks
Sec	Time to Construct	me to Construct  Design-Bid-Build Delivery (Months)		48	54	48		33 - 36			33 - 36
				FVΔΙΙΙ	ATION MEASU	RE R	ATINGS				
Lower Performing Higher Performing											
LU	1 TEIIUI	ıııııg	-	<b>7</b>				<u></u>		ıııgı	E CITOIIIII
1 2 1 5									3		

DRAFT 9/06/2019





